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Abstract
Financial rates of return are estimated for mid-rotation release from hardwood competition for Coastal Plain loblolly pine plantations. Economic benefits were measured against the costs required to obtain those benefits. A base-case scenario was employed to approximate the average initial stand conditions of an existing release study. Product volumes were projected for released and non-released conditions for a maximum rotation length of 30 years. Three release levels, 95%, 75% and 50%, were simulated. Annualized rates of return were calculated from age 10 for each 5-year period up to age 30. Sensitivity tests estimated how changing release age, maximum height response and timber product prices influenced results.

Introduction
Timberland owners recognize that intensive management of pine stands enhances biological productivity, which can increase financial returns. A management activity of growing importance is the use of silvicultural herbicides for controlling hardwood competition in mid-rotation plantations.

Researchers examining the impact of mid-rotation herbicide release (“release”) report a positive and persistent impact on growth rates of treated plantations (Fortson et al. 1996, Shiver 1994). These studies also suggest release is economically justified because it results in larger trees and a more valuable timber crop at harvest. But several questions remain unanswered.

First, although existing work demonstrates that while release may increase timber volumes and future sale revenues, added benefits are not weighed against costs. Neither does it estimate how different release levels influence financial returns. For example, a release treatment which eliminates 50% of competing hardwoods should be expected to show a lower return than one that removes 95% of competition, given comparable treatment costs.

Existing studies show how release positively affects yields and value of a single product such as pulpwood. But increasing the biological growth rate means trees move more rapidly into more valuable product classes. Following release, larger volumes of chip-and-saw and large sawtimber are produced at younger ages. It is reasonable to anticipate higher financial returns as a result.

This study estimates financial rates of return for mid-rotation release from hardwood competition in Coastal Plain loblolly pine plantations by using a combination of results from existing studies combined with informed judgment to adjust an existing growth and yield model. The analysis includes both economic benefits from increased pine growth rates and treatment costs. The impact of varying levels of release is also estimated. The effect of release on the merchantable volumes of different product classes is included in the calculation of financial return.

The approach used here can be useful, and may be necessary in situations where forest managers are required to make decisions despite a lack of complete long-term response information from experimental plot data. In forestry such situations are the norm rather than the exception. However, the estimates provided by the study should not be construed as substitutes for more completely developed growth and yield equations which include the impact of release treatments.

The next section describes how financial returns from mid-rotation release are calculated. This is followed by a discussion of how release influences plantation growth, and how growth was modeled for the analysis. The base-case set of parameters is described, as are sensitivity tests that were performed. Finally, study results are presented and discussed.
**Financial Returns from Mid-Rotation Release**

When projections of merchantable product volumes for non-released and released stands are available, estimating financial returns from release is straightforward. Assume a landowner is considering treating a 10-yr old stand of loblolly pine, at a cost of $C/ac, and wishes to measure annual returns from time of release to age 20. The released stand is projected to be worth $V_r/ac at age 20, versus $V_{nr}$ without release. The annualized rate of return is:

\[
\text{Rate of Return (ROR)} = \{ \frac{(V_r - V_{nr})}{C} \}^{1/10} - 1
\]

If a released stand is projected to be worth $1000/ac at age 20 versus $750 without treatment, and release costs $80/ac, then:

\[
\text{ROR} = \left\{ \left( \frac{(1000 - 750)}{80} \right) \right\}^{1/10} - 1 = 0.1207 = 12.07\%
\]

The calculation focuses on the return from the release operation itself and weighs the benefits of release – the incremental value gain from the treatment over a non-treated stand – against the cost required to achieve that gain. It ignores factors such as original land cost, and investments in site preparation and planting because these costs are already “sunk” and have no bearing on current decision making. The appropriate way to think of mid-rotation release is as a potential investment in the stand. If the marginal wealth generated by this investment exceeds the marginal cost, then it is worthwhile.

**The Influence of Mid-Rotation Release on Plantation Growth**

Existing studies demonstrate that pine growth is modified by release in at least two ways. First, release increases height growth of the treated stand. Second, release increases basal area over time compared to a non-released stand. Average stand diameter therefore increases, as does merchantable product volumes. Greater volumes of higher per-unit value products also become available at an earlier age.

A recent study (Fortson et al. 1996) documents the impact of release on loblolly pine stands following silvicultural herbicide treatment at 33 locations in the Piedmont and upper Coastal Plain of Georgia and Alabama. Samples were taken from stands averaging 7 and 14 yr old at the time of treatment. Treated stands were remeasured at two yr intervals for an eight yr period following treatment (ages averaging 15 and 22 yr at the last assessment), and compared to non-released check plots.

In treated plots, basal area increased almost 11%, or 14 ft²/ac, over untreated plots. Height of treated plots increased 1.8 ft over untreated plots. Merchantable wood volume rose an average of 20% (377 ft³/ac). Responses of this magnitude were achieved even though relatively small amounts of hardwood were present. The percentage of hardwood basal area to total basal area was less than 10% in 26 of 33 installations. Another result of this study was that the differences in basal area, height and merchantable volumes between treated and untreated plots continued to diverge throughout the measurement periods. The study thus demonstrates that the positive influence of hardwood release on pine growth response is long-term in nature.

A slash pine release study (Oppenheimer et al. 1989) reports similar findings 10 growing seasons following release. Merchantable volume increased over 15% on treated plots. Height increased an average of 1.4 ft. The study also demonstrated that differences in merchantable volumes diverged over time. A 14-yr remeasurement of that study (Shiver 1994) showed that volume differences continued to diverge, with treated plots having about 400 ft³ more merchantable volume – a 30% increase – over untreated plots.

Although the above results are for stands receiving complete vegetation control, one study indicated that hardwood control levels of greater than 90% were achieved by operational aerial applications of 16 oz of Arsenal® herbicide applicators concentrate (Zutter et al. 1987). In the two locations included in that study, hardwood basal areas expressed as a percent of total stand basal area, were 22% and 29%. Stand age at the time of treatment was 14 yrs. Two yrs following application, treated plots had 105 ft³/ac more volume than untreated plots. These results indicated that growth responses of the magnitude observed in study by Fortson et al. (1996)
are achievable from operational treatments and that greater responses are likely at higher initial hardwood competition.

Pienaar and Rheney’s (1995) methodology, with some modifications described below, was employed to project growth and yield relationships. The equations and modifications used are described below.

**Predicting Growth and Yield for Non-Released and Released Loblolly Pine**

Prediction equations for Coastal Plain loblolly pine stands developed by Harrison and Borders (1996), employing modifications suggested by Pienaar and Rheney (1995) and Shiver (1994), were used in the analysis. The equations are:

**Survival function:**

\[ N_2 = 100 + \left[ (N_1 - 100) - 0.74534 + 0.00034252 \times SI \times (A_2^{1.97472} - A_1^{1.97472}) \right]^{-1/0.74534} \]

Where:
- \( N_2, N_1 \) = Trees/ac at periods 2 and 1, where \( N_1 > 100 \)
- \( A_2, A_1 \) = Age in periods 2 and 1
- \( SI \) = Site index in feet (base age 25)

**Height Growth:**

**Non-released stands:**

\[ H = SI \left[ 0.30323 / (1 - e^{-0.01445A}) \right]^{0.8216} \]

Where:
- \( H \) = Height at age \( i \)
- \( A \) = Stand age

**Released stands:**

\[ H = SI \left[ 0.30323 / (1 - e^{-0.01445A}) \right]^{0.8216} + \beta_1 YST e^{\beta_2(YST)} \]

Where:
- \( YST \) = Years since treatment
- \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) = Parameters defining magnitude and pattern of the response

(Values used for \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) are described in the following section.)

**Basal Area:**

\[ BA = e^{-0.90407 - 33.81182/A} \times H^{0.98534 + 2.5482/A} \times N^{0.3213 + 3.38107/A} \times e^{-0.003689 \times (PHW)} \]

Where:
- \( BA \) = Basal area at age \( i \)
- \( N \) = Number of surviving trees/ac
- \( PHW \) = Percent hardwood expressed as a whole number

**Total Volume Per Acre (outside bark):**

\[ V = H^{0.26855 + 8.9345/A} \times N^{-7.46686/A} \times BA^{1.36884 + 3.5534/A} \]

Where:
- \( V \) = Total volume (ft\(^3\)/ac)
Merchantable Volume:
\[ V_{dt} = V_e^{-0.98265(t/D_{bar})^{3.99114} - 0.74826N^{0.1112} (d/D_{bar})^{5.7848}} \]

Where:
- \( V_{dt} \) = Total merchantable volume (ft\(^3\)/ac) for all trees with minimum DBH > d (in.) to top diameter t
- \( D_{bar} \) = Quadratic mean stand diameter

Product breakdowns were for pulpwood \( V_{5.4} - V_{9.6} \), chip and saw \( V_{9.6} - V_{11.9} \), and large sawtimber \( V_{11.9} \)

Analysis

Base-Level Scenario

The analysis begins with a base-level scenario which approximates the average initial stand conditions of the loblolly pine release study described by Fortson et al. (1996). A 10-yr old stand with 600 trees per acre is assumed to be released on site index 60 (base age 25) land. Product volumes are projected for released and non-released conditions for a maximum rotation length of 30 yr. Annualized rates of return are calculated from age 10 for each 5 yr period up to age 30 (10-15, 10-20, 10-25 and 10-30 yr).

Release is assumed to be conducted with Arsenal® herbicide Applicators Concentrate, applied at a rate of 16 oz/acre. Application costs are fixed at $30/acre, and variable costs are set at $3.30/oz of herbicide, for a total cost of $82.80/acre.

Timber prices used to calculate product values for 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 yr-old stands in the base-level scenario reflect 1996 average southwide pulpwood, chip-and-saw and sawtimber prices, as published in Timber Mart South (1996). These were $24 and $60/ced for pulpwood and chip-and-saw, respectively, and $237/MBF Scribner for sawtimber. Using southwide prices reflects a conservative bias in the analysis, since the growth and yield relationships described above are for the Coastal Plain region. Coastal plain timber prices tend on average to be higher than in the Piedmont.

The base-level scenario employs a height response that steadily increases to 3 ft over a 20-yr period. This is a reasonable assumption, and we consider it a conservative one. In the Fortson et al. (1996) study average tree height increased by 1.8 ft eight growing seasons following treatment. The \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) parameters in the height growth equation for this level of response are 0.4077 and 0.05, respectively.

It was assumed that hardwood constituted 10% of total stand basal area at the time of release. Three different release levels were used in the base-case: 95%, 75% and 50%, to estimate how varying success rates might influence investment returns.

The initial results which used the height growth equation to drive basal area response substantially underestimated the magnitude of the response observed from field study data. Therefore, additional adjustments were required to adequately reflect treatment effects on stand basal area growth. The basal area equation was adjusted upward by 10%, 8% and 6%, for the 95%, 75% and 50% release levels, respectively. The 10% adjustment represents an observed response from experimental plots to total control above that predicted by the Pienaar and Rheney (1995) model. This response level, therefore, is consistent with real-world results. The 8% and 6% values have not, however, been observed from experimental plot data. However, they are considered to be reasonable reductions in basal area response resulting from lower levels of release, and were included for purposes of comparison. Over time these estimates will be refined as more data become available, but it should be emphasized that these are based on informed judgment rather than hard data.

The calculation of financial returns does not include the effects of inflation. All RORs therefore are “real,” rather than “nominal” figures. To convert returns into nominal numbers, they are multiplied by the inflation rate. For example, if the real ROR is 10% annually and inflation is 3%, the nominal return is:
\[ (1.10)(1.03) - 1 = 0.1330, \text{ or } 13.3\% \]
Sensitivity Tests
Sensitivity tests estimated how changing certain biological and financial assumptions influenced the results. Tests were conducted on three parameters: release age, maximum height response and product prices.

Release Age
There is a question whether release treatments conducted early in a stand’s life will result in higher financial returns than treatments performed later in the rotation. From a biological standpoint, it is not clear whether the same incremental growth would be achieved from later release, assuming the same maximum rotation age. Pienaar and Rheney’s (1995) study suggests that the same maximum height response may be achievable for a stand whether it is released at a younger versus an older age (age 7 versus age 14). But for the older stand, the response would require a similar time period following release to be realized.

To address this, an additional scenario is presented where release is conducted at age 15. Returns are calculated for 5-yr periods to age 35. As with release at age 10, this reflects a 20-yr response, at which time maximum height growth response of 3 ft is achieved.

Maximum Height Response
In addition to a 3-ft maximum response 20 yr following treatment, 4- and 5-ft maximum responses were modeled. As already mentioned, a 3-ft maximum response may be conservative when stands have higher levels of competing hardwood than average levels recorded in existing studies (Pienaar and Rheney 1995, Fortson et al. 1996). To account for these possible response levels the values of the $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$ parameters in the height growth index equation were modified as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
3 \text{ ft} & 4 \text{ ft} & 5 \text{ ft} \\
\beta_1 & .4077 & .5437 & .6796 \\
\beta_2 & .0500 & .0500 & .0500
\end{array}
\]

Recall that the height response steadily increases to the maximum response 20 yrs following treatment. Height responses for the interim periods used in the ROR calculation are less than the maximum and shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Height Response (ft)</th>
<th>Interim Height Response (Yr Since Treatment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Product Prices
In addition to the average prices employed in the base-level scenario, high and low price scenarios were examined. Low prices were the average pulpwood, chip-and-saw and sawtimber prices for the three southern states reporting the lowest annual prices for each product to Timber Mart South (1996). High prices were calculated as the average for each product for the three southern states reporting the highest prices.
Results

Base-Case Scenario

Figure 1 shows basal area projections by release level by 5-yr increment. As expected, basal area increases compared to a non-released stand. Differences between basal areas also diverge over time, which is consistent with existing research.

Greater confidence should probably be attached to the non-released and 95% release projections. The former were calculated directly from the unmodified growth and yield equations presented above. The latter approximate the conditions in existing studies.

Figure 1. Coastal Plain Loblolly Pine Plantation Basal Area by Years Since Herbicide Release Treatment, Base-Case

Figure 2 shows merchantable volumes by years since treatment and release level. By age 30 a stand with 95% release at age 10 is projected to grow 5181 ft³/ac, versus 4095 ft³/acre for a non-released stand, a 26.5% difference. This result is reasonably consistent with previous research for periods up to eight yr (Shiver 1994, Fortson et al. 1996).

Figure 2. Merchantable Timber Volume by Years Since Treatment, Base-Case

1 600 trees/ac at age 10 release, SI 60 (base 25)
Figure 3 shows rates of return for the base-case scenario by period and release level. Higher returns are associated with higher levels of hardwood control. For all release levels, however, annualized returns increase up to 15 yr after treatment (stand age 25). For 95% release, returns reach a maximum of about 11.2%, then drop off to 10.5%, 20 yr after treatment. While the magnitudes of declines differ, the same general relationships apply for 75% and 50% release.

![Figure 3. Annual Rates of Return by Years Since Treatment, Base-Case](image)

The results suggest that for: (1) typical site quality, survival and planting density conditions, (2) average regional price conditions and treatment costs, and (3) conservatively estimated response levels, an investment in mid-rotation release potentially provides a substantial investment return at the margin.

To put these results in a more traditional context, 10 to 11% annual real returns compare very favorably to those received from stock investments during the period from the early 1980s to mid 1990s. Between 1986 and 1996 the S&P 500 had an annual real compound return of 11.08% (after accounting for a 3.8% annual inflation rate). The Russell 2000, a measure of small-capitalization stock performance, returned 8.2%.

**Sensitivity Tests**

The results reported below examine sensitivity tests relative to the base-case assumptions. The only parameter varied from the base-case in each situation is the one being considered by the sensitivity test itself. However, a full set of tables showing the entire set of possible combinations of results is available from the authors.

**Release Age**

Figure 4 shows rates of return for stands released at age 15. Five yr after 95% release, ROR for age 15 release is greater than for age 10 release (6.5% versus 2.6%). An explanation is that trees released at age 15 grow into more valuable product classes sooner than trees released at age 10. This results in larger value differences five yr after treatment for trees released at age 15. Ten yr after a 95% release treatment, RORs for release at age 10 and 15 are comparable (10.6% and 10.7%, respectively). However, for release at age 15, returns are at a maximum 10 yr after treatment, while returns from release at age 10 increase to a maximum of 11.2% 15 yr after treatment. For 75% and 50% release levels, returns for age 15 release are generally lower than for age 10 release.
Maximum Height Response
Figure 5 shows RORs for situations where the maximum height response was increased to 4 and 5 ft, respectively. As expected, the impact on ROR is positive relative to the base case. At 10 yr following release, a 4 ft increase raises the ROR to 12.3%, versus 10.6% for a 3 ft response in the base-case; a 5 ft increase raises it to 13.8%, which is 3.2% higher than the base-case. It should be noted that no additional basal area adjustment was made for these height increases beyond that described earlier for the 3 ft response.

Figure 5. Returns from Release with 4 ft. and 5 ft. Maximum Height Responses by Years Since Treatment

1 600 trees/ac at age 10 release, S1 60 (base 25)
**Product Prices**

Figure 6 shows the impact of low and high timber product prices on returns, for 95% release levels. Interestingly, while the low price scenario reduced returns as would be expected, the RORs between 15 and 20 yr following release remain above 8%. This result suggests that mid-rotation release may be a viable alternative even in relatively low-price timber markets.

**Summary and Conclusions**

This study estimates financial rates of return through time for mid-rotation release of Coastal Plain loblolly pine plantations. The approach taken was to begin with a base-case set of assumptions for a 10-yr-old pine stand representative of typical growing conditions and region-wide product pricing. Growth and yield were projected to age 30, and differences compared at different time intervals for released versus non-released conditions. Initial stand conditions at time of release were chosen to approximate those of existing release studies.

Economic benefits, measured by the value of increased merchantable product volumes were weighed against the costs required to obtain those benefits. The influence of varying levels of release success were also considered. Sensitivity tests examined how rates of return varied by release age, varying levels of height growth response and product pricing.

For the base-case, real RORs from 95% release reached a maximum of 11.2% annually 15 yr after treatment (stand age 25). This compares very favorably to returns from stock investments over the past decade. For the base-case under a high price scenario, real returns of 14% were achieved 10 yr after treatment. This indicates that in high price markets, release can attain return levels in excess of those typically associated with well-diversified stock investments. Even under a low price scenario, real returns of over 8% were achieved.

This analysis did not account for anticipated timber price increases over the inflation rate. The U.S. Forest Service forecasts that prices for southern pine sawtimber will increase by 38% net of inflation between the years 2000 and 2040 (USDA Forest Service 1994). Any timber price increases net of inflation would increase returns presented in this analysis.
The results presented strongly suggest that mid-rotation release may be a financially attractive investment over a wide range of conditions. It should be emphasized, however, that some of the yield relationships employed to predict the impact of release on plantation growth are still in the developmental stage. As a result, several adjustments to the projection equations rely on anticipated growth responses employing estimates by the researchers. Every effort was made to provide estimates which are reasonable and consistent with currently available knowledge.
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